1	DIVISION OF LABOR STANDARDS ENFORCEMENT Department of Industrial Relations State of California	
2		
3	BY: MILES E. LOCKER, Attorney No. 10 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 3166 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 703-4150	03510
5	Attorney for the Labor Commissioner	
6	Accorney for the Labor commissioner	
7	BEFORE THE LABOR COMMISSIONER	
8	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	ALFRED MONACELLA,) No. TAC 7-95
11	Petitioner,)
12	vs.) CERTIFICATION OF LACK
13	INTERNATIONAL CREATIVE MANAGEMENT,) OF CONTROVERSY) (Labor Code §1700.44)
14	INC., a Delaware corporation; PAUL HAAS, an individual; ALAN BERGER, an individual; DOES 1-100, inclusive)
15)
16	Respondent.)
17	The above-captioned petition to determine controversy	
18	seeks a determination that the dispute between petitioner and	
19	respondents a dispute that is the subject of a pending	
20	superior court action is not governed by section 1700.44 of	
21	the California Labor Code. Section 1700.44 gives the Labor	
22	Commissioner exclusive primary jurisdiction over controversies	
23	arising under the Talent Agencies Act (Labor Code §1700, et seq.)	
24	between talent agencies and artists. The term "artists" is	
25	defined at Labor Code §1700.4(b). The definition does not	
26	include producers, and the Labor Commissioner does not interpret	
27	this statute to give the Labor Commissioner jurisdiction over	
28	disputes where one of the parties is a producer.	
	TAC2:7-95 -1-	

÷.

The petition to determine controversy alleges that 1 petitioner "was and is now a producer . . . who employed the 2 International Creative Management Agency ("ICM") to represent his 3 interests"; and that "in May 1991, Paul Haas [an ICM agent] 4 negotiated a written employment contract under which Petitioner 5 6 would work as a producer for the Kushner-Locke Company." 7 Subsequently, Kushner-Locke fired Petitioner, and Petitioner filed a lawsuit against Kushner-Locke, ICM, and Haas, alleging, 8 inter alia, that ICM and Haas committed fraud and breached their 9 fiduciary duty to Petitioner. 10

Whatever the merits of this dispute, it is apparent 11 that Petitioner was not an "artist" within the meaning of Labor 12 13 Code §1700.4(b). The evidence on this issue - - the written employment agreement between Petitioner and Kushner-Locke - -14 15 states that Petitioner is to be employed as a "sales/development 16 executive", a term consistent with the duties usually undertaken by producers in the entertainment industry. There is nothing in 17 the history of the Talent Agencies Act which would indicate that 18 a person in an executive position is entitled to the protections 19 the legislature felt were needed by artists. 20

Consequently, we conclude that there is no controversy within the meaning of Labor Code §1700.44 and that the Labor Commissioner is without jurisdiction to determine the merits of the parties' dispute.

9/27/95 DATED:

MAL

MILES E. LOCKER Attorney for Labor Commissioner

25

26

27

28

-2-

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS - DIVISION OF LABOR STANDARDS ENFORCEMENT

CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE BY MAIL

(C.C.P. §1013a)

- 13

(ALFRED MONACELLA v. INTERNATIONAL CREATIVE MANAGEMENT, INC.;) (PAUL HAAS; ALAN BERGER) (TAC 7-95)

I, MARY ANN E. GALAPON, do hereby certify that I am employed in the county of San Francisco, over 18 years of age, not a party to the within action, and that I am employed at and my business . address is 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 3166, San Francisco, California 94102.

On <u>September 28, 1995</u>, I served the following document:

CERTIFICATION OF LACK OF CONTROVERSY

(Labor Code §1700.44)

by placing a true copy thereof in envelope addressed as follows:

TINA L. GENTILE, ESQ. KERN & SUPOWIT One Bunker Hill Building 601 West Fifth Street, Suite 1100 Los Angeles, CA 90071

ANN K. PENNERS, ESQ. RINTALA, SMOOT, JAENICKE & BRUNSWICK 10351 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90025

and then sealing the envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, depositing it in the United States mail in the city and county of San Francisco by ordinary first class mail.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on <u>September 28, 1995</u>, at San Francisco, California.

Mary ann E. Helepon